

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/709,103

Applicant(s)

CISMOWSKI ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel M Sullivan

Art Unit

1636

All Participants:

(1) Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner.

(2) Gary Gershik, Applicant's representative.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed lack of antecedent basis for "the same AGS protein" in claim 84 and the scope of "a host cell" in claim 91. Also discussed absence of SEQ ID NO in the figure legends.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)